A SUPPLIANT AT GERASA

KENT J. RIGSBY

The temple of Zeus Olympius at Gerasa has yielded several inscribed dedications, which identify for us the god of the place. Three texts derive from one man, Theon, who was a suppliant in the temple in A.D. 69/70. The few scholars who have tried to reconstruct his story are greatly at variance. R. O. Fink thought that he was a Gerasene who dedicated his children to the god as sacred slaves in some oriental manner. Welles (1938: 377), who knew from another inscription (*I. Gerasa* no. 30) that Gerasa had the civic title "sacred and inviolable," thought that Theon had availed himself of a Greek privilege and taken refuge in Gerasa from some other city. Theon's case is worth exploring, as this is one of the rare episodes of temple-refuge in the documentary record.

We have two stones, which contain between them three texts inscribed by three hands, I. Gerasa no. 5:

άγαθῆ τύχη, [βλρ, ύπὲρ τῆς τῶν Σεβαστῶν σωτηρίας καὶ τῆς τοῦ δήμου ὁμονοίας ἀργυρίου Τυρίου δραχμὰς ἑπτακισ— χιλίας ἐκατὸν ἔδωκεν Θέων Δημητρίου εἰς τὴν οἰκοδομὴν ναοῦ Διὸς Ὀλυμπίου οὖ ἐστιν ἰκέτης ὑπέρ τε ἑαυτοῦ καὶ τῶν τοῦ . . Διὸς ἱεροδούλων, αὐτοῦ δὲ τοῦ Θέωνος τέκνων Σκύμνου καὶ ᾿Αρτεμιδώρου καὶ ᾿Αρτεμιδάς, προδεδωκὼς εἰς τὴν τοῦ προπύλο[υ] οἰκοδομὴν ἄλλας δραχμὰς χιλίας πεντακοσίας.

For good fortune, year 132: for the well-being of the Augusti and the concord of the people, Theon son of Demetrius gave 7,100 drachmas of Tyrian silver toward the building of the temple of Zeus Olympius, whose suppliant he is, in behalf of himself and the temple-slaves of Zeus, and the children of the same Theon, Scymnus and Artemidorus and Artemisia; having already given toward the building of the propylon another 1,500 drachmas.

I. Gerasa no. 6:

5

[- - - - δραχ]μά[ς έ]πτα[κισ]χειλίας [έ]κατὸν ὀγ[δοήκον-]
[τα εξ ἀνέθηκεν? κατὰ τ]ὰ ψηφίσματα ἐκ τῶν ἰδίων κατ' εὐχή[ν].
// ὁμοῦ [ηχπς
[καὶ πρὸς αἶς ἔδ]ωκεν δραχμαῖς ὀκτακισχειλίαις [έξ-]
[ακοσίαις ὀγδ]οήκοντα εξ Θέων Δημητρίου ὁ τοῦ
[Διὸς ἱκέτης εὐσε]βῶν εἰς τὴν οἰκοδομὴν Διὸς 'Όλυμ-

¹For recent work and discoveries, see Seigne 1985 and 1989, with mention of the new dedications in this series, which will be published by P.-L. Gatier.

²Fink 1933: 114; followed by Bickerman 1937: 118.

³Welles's reedition (1938) replaced the partial readings of earlier editors; Seigne's reexamination (1985: 295) confirmed several letters in no. 6.

100

10

PHOENIX

[πίου, ᾶς προσδεξ]άμενοι οί πολεῖται ἀνέλαβον εἰς [τὸ ἔργον, ἔτι] κα[ι] γῦγ εἰς τὴν μέλλουσαν χαλκῆν [εἰκόν]α Διὸς Φυξίου ἄλλας ἐπέδωκεν δραχ— [μὰς χειλί]ας τριακοσσία[ς] δεκατέσσαρας, [ὥστε τ]ὰς ἐπιδοθείσας ἀπάσας εἶναι [ἀργυρίο]υ καλοῦ δοκίμου δραχμὰς μυρίας. // ὁμοῦ ι ΜΑ

... 7,186 drachmas ... in accordance with the decrees from his own funds in fulfillment of a yow, Sum: 8,686 drachmas.

And in addition to the 8,686 drachmas which Theon son of Demetrius the suppliant of Zeus gave piously toward the building of Zeus Olympius, which the citizens have approved and taken up for the work, he now has further donated toward the intended bronze statue of Zeus of Refuge another 1,314 drachmas, so that the total donated is 10,000 drachmas of good valid silver. Sum: 10,000 drachmas.

A clue to what has happened is the money. There is a discrepancy of eighty-six drachmas between the sum in the first text and its recapitulation in the later ones. Welles considered that this eighty-six drachmas might reflect the cost of inscribing. That seems a high price. Seigne has urged instead that it represents what we would call a "cost overrun," the difference between the amount promised and the eventual cost of construction. But work on this temple continued over decades, as we know from the dedications, with various individuals contributing "toward" construction: the eventual cost cannot have been known at any particular time.

The difference between the two sums is an increase of exactly one per cent; this should not be accidental. The most widely attested interest rate in the Roman Empire is one per cent per month—the $\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alpha\hat{\alpha}$ δ δ δ one drachma per mina. I propose that the discrepancy represents accumulated interest: no other habit of monetary behavior or economic life seems likely to have added exactly eighty-six drachmas to 8,600 drachmas. This in turn establishes a date for the second and third texts: both were inscribed in the month after the first. Between the two stones *I. Gerasa* 5 and 6, one month has turned but not a second.

⁴Seigne (1985: 293), in publishing a signature found at the entrance ("Diodorus was architect" in A.D. 27/8: SEG XXXV 1569); I do not agree that this must date the completion of the entire temple and that the subsequent donations "toward construction" were to pay down a debt for money already spent on the building.

⁵In the second century, e.g., Pliny Ep. 9.28.5, 10.54.2; in a recently published document from the region, P. Babatha 11 (A.D. 124); cf. IG II² 1104: ἐκατοστιαίων τόκω[ν], and the common usurae centesimae (CIL VIII 1845; ILS 5686, etc.; cf. Casson 1980: 27–28). At P. Mur. II 114, lines 15–16 (A.D. 171?), τὸν ἐγ διατάγματος τόκ[ον] will be whatever rate was allowed by edict of the provincial governor, as at Cic. Att. 6.1.6 (tota provincia singulas observarem itaque edixissem).

⁶We can of course think instead of two months at annual six per cent, a rate described by a contemporary as civilis ac modica (Pliny NH 14.56); but this was far less common. Babatha regarded

The final numbers recorded are revealing in a different way: 1,314 drachmas given toward the statue so as to make 10,000. This last very round number cannot be the accidental result of adding up previous donations, nor will Theon have decided to spend precisely 1,314 drachmas on a new statue. It must be the round number, the 10,000, that preceded; that is, the 1,314 drachmas was not a separate lump of money but a notional calculation, a by-product of the real transaction. What Theon actually gave to the cult was the simple figure 10,000 drachmas, and the amount devoted to the statue was calculated from the overpayment which that 10,000 represented beyond the first two sums promised. This in turn implies that the whole 10,000 drachmas changed hands on one occasion, at the end of our story, when the last text was inscribed: Theon's commitment had been for 8,686 drachmas, and when he finally could pay, he generously told the god to keep the change and use it toward a statue of Zeus of Refuge. Thus Theon arrived at the temple without this money and was taken in upon promise of donations, a promise which he was unable to keep before the month had turned. "I'm sure the gods smile when a lucky man makes a vow," wrote a man from the region.⁷ Theon, it seems, was not lucky.

The first two gifts had been vowed but were not delivered until now. They were a promise, unfulfilled until 10,000 drachmas arrived.⁸ The oddity then is only in the accounting entry at *I.Gerasa* 6, line 2, where the interest is added to the second sum rather than separated out as a third component (which probably was felt to be irrelevant information) or divided proportionally between the two (which may have been felt complex and again unnecessary): the recorder has preferred simply to add the interest to the immediately preceding amount.

Interest on a delayed payment, which becomes part of the obligation, is of course a normal part of modern dealings. It is also well attested in antiquity. A classical instance occurs at Demosthenes 41.8: for a slave purchased and delivered but not yet paid for, the obligation is two minai "and the interest" (καὶ τὸν τόκον). A parallel from the region can be recognized in *P.Dura* 25, a sale of land whose price is 500 drachmas plus τῶν γεινομένων πάντων. This last phrase has puzzled commentators. ¹⁰ But this participle is normal usage for "accruing"

this return as abusively low and promised that she could bring in eighteen per cent: P.Babatha 15, lines 7 and 23.

⁷Publilius Syrus 169, cf. 525.

⁸This need not be contradicted by *I.Gerasa* 6, line 7: the form of the money that the citizens "approved and took up" might well be a promise rather than actual cash.

⁹ A sale with delayed payment is a loan that draws interest: Pringsheim 1950: 244–268, with 260–262 on P.Oxy. 306 and 318 (one month between possession and payment, A.D. 59). The practice was common enough to offend Plato, who would ban both delayed payment and advanced: Leg. 915d: μηδ΄ ἐπὶ ἀναβολῆ πρᾶτιν μηδὲ ἀνὴν ποιεῖσθαι μηδενός.

¹⁰ References at Welles, *P.Dura* p. 133, who thought it meant "all the produce" from the land. For that meaning, however, an unambiguous expression is at *I.Syrie* VII 4028, line 23 (= Welles, *RC* 70): σὺν τοῖς τοῦ ἐνεστῶτος ἔτους γενήμασιν. The two terms in proximity at *PSI* VIII 976, lines 4–5: someone pays the accrued tax (τελοῦντα τὸ γεινόμενον) on the crops (γενήμα[τ]α).

PHOENIX

102

interest,¹¹ and the phrase here likely means any interest accruing on the base price during the time between the surrender of the land and the delivery of payment. The opposite, immediate full payment, could be worthy of notice: ἐπρίατο ... τὴν ἱερωσύνην χρυσῶν ἑπτὰ χαλ[κῶν] ἑξήκοντα, οῧς ἔδωκε παραχρ[ῆμα] (LSCG 87, line 17; cf. SHA M. Anton. 8.11: statim reddidit).

Naturally a god could lend money or other property and be owed principal and interest; but for interest paid on a vow I can offer no good parallel. Delays before fulfillment are of course well known, especially in Roman religion. In fact one of the unpublished dedications from the temple at Gerasa shows a Roman who vowed 10,000 drachmas, paid 5,000, and now his wife pays the other 5,000; no interest accrues (though the time elapsed is not made clear).

Accordingly, an ordinary vow will not explain what has happened to Theon. His mention of *bierodouloi* points the way to something more urgent. Here at Gerasa was a situation in which mortals, the temple authorities and the city, had received and approved a concrete promise and must have felt themselves responsible for guarding its fulfillment: well might they enforce it with interest. Gods can get angry, but humans can get even. And a temple that had a number of slaves¹⁴ may well have had rules about joining their number.

In fact the few regulations that survive concerning suppliants, difficult or fragmentary though they are, imply that money might be expected. At Cyrene: "If something should be proposed, whatever is proposed, he is to pay. If nothing is proposed, he is to sacrifice produce and a libation each year forever." At Lindos: "From [no one?] are they to collect more than [- - -] drachmas." So we have reason to suspect that a suppliant commonly incurred a financial obligation; the amount seems subject to negotiation, and arrangements could be

 $^{^{11}}$ See LS] s.v. γίγνομαι I.2.b. It occurs both with nouns, e.g., ἀπέδωκε τὰ χρήματα (μετ]ὰ τῶν γενομένων τόκων ($Syll.^3$ 1108), ἀποδεδώκεν αὐτὰ καὶ τοὺς τόκους τοὺς γινομένους ($Syll.^3$ 953, line 79), τᾶς ποθόδω τᾶς ἀφ' οὕτω τῶ ἀργυρίω γινομένας (BCH 60 [1936] 179); and without, τὰς τετρ[α]κοσ[ί]ας δραχμάς καὶ τὰ ἐπιδέκατα κ[α]ὶ τ[ὰ] γινόμενα (P.Hib. I 92, defining forfeiture; for the text, see Reckmans 1952: 411); (δραχμαὶ) ν καὶ τὰ γινόμενα α (ἀβολὸς) (ἡμιωβέλιον) (111: a list of fines; for the text, Reckmans 1955: 372), the interest here amounting to 2.5 per cent, whatever the rate and duration had been.

¹² Mummius' dedication to Hercules (ILLRP 149) affects the language of monetary debt: pro usura, dissolvendei, verae rationis; cf. ILS 3410; Macrob. 3.2.6: reus vocetur qui suscepto voto se numinibus obligat, damnatus autem qui promissa vota iam solvit. At I.Ilion 1, line 43 a donation promised but not delivered is "money owed from last year" (τοῖς πέρυσι ὀφειλο[μέ]νοις).

¹³ At *I.Gerasa* 53 = *Nowv. choix* no. 32, a provincial priest dedicates a statue of Justice on behalf of his son, vowed by the father when the son was *agoranomos*; cf. *IG* XII.7 247 from Amorgos, a daughter completes a repair vowed by her father when he was a magistrate (both second century A.D.).

¹⁴As occurred in both Greece and the East, with important differences of scale, status, and treatment. For an old list, see Hepding 1913; cf. Westermann 1935: 908–909; Rostovtzeff 1941: 3.1383, 1435; *SEG* XXXI 634 (Macedonia); Debord 1982: 117–124; Scholl 1985.

¹⁵ LSCGS 115,B.41-44, with Wilamowitz 1927: 170 ("entrance payment"); Sokolowski 1954: 177; Dobias-Lalou 1997: 265-266.

¹⁶ Kontorini 1989: 17-29, no. 1 = SEG XXXIX 729.

made if (as is understandable in the circumstances) he did not have money in hand.

An obscure text from classical Sicily may illustrate such an event: a man owes the goddess, with the interest ($[\sigma\grave{\upsilon}]\nu$ $t\^{\omicron}\iota$ $t\^{\omicron}\iota$ $t\^{\omicron}\iota$), a stated amount of money, which is not "consumable" by him ($βρ\~{ο}t\^{ο}\nu$) but which he must use for statues and furnishings and a sum of cash for the goddess; his wife and children are also under obligation; when he has paid the money, he is to be free. ¹⁷ This inscription is difficult to interpret, and might be simply a labor contract; but it may instead concern a suppliant, admitted to sanctuary on terms that the temple specified.

If this is so, Theon's delay in payment added interest to what was a formal expectation of a donation from a suppliant. It may be that he eventually came to have the neat sum of 10,000 drachmas by borrowing that amount in his turn. This could explain not only the roundness of the figure, but also why a new month has arrived, for some days or weeks will have been required to arrange a loan—that is, to define and guarantee collateral and negotiate a monetary sum against it. More puzzling is the strange figure 7,100 drachmas. Perhaps, in order to be welcomed in the temple, he first promised the rational sum of 1,500 drachmas; this was respectable and typical for this temple, to judge from the several other dedications of that amount. In the following days pressure mounted from within or without the temple, until he made a further promise of what he felt he could come up with, arriving at the anomalous number 7,100. In any case, in finally rounding the donation up to 10,000, Theon was making a grateful gesture which matched the more generous donations that are commemorated in the temple (cf. I. Gerasa 2). His affairs were now in order.

The role of the city government in this affair also shows that this was no ordinary vow or dedication. The verb is lost at *I.Gerasa* 6, line 2, but line 7 implies that the city needed to authorize Theon's "gifts." Other men who contributed toward construction of the temple of Zeus do not mention such approval; these are mostly civic magistrates, making the donation on the occasion of their office, a piece of regular business. The plural $\psi\eta\phi$ io $\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ at line 2 might imply that there was a standing policy on an action like Theon's. ¹⁸ Care was needed, for the Roman government, as we know, looked with substantial unease on this Greek custom of protecting suppliants. ¹⁹

 $^{^{17}}$ Manganaro 1977 [= Dubois 1989: no. 177; Arena 1989: no. 118]: ἐπεὶ κ' ἀποδοῖ τοῦτο τὸ ἀργύριον τανικαῦτα ἐλεύθερος εἴσστο.

¹⁸ A public listing of statues and structures (one for the hierodouloi) dedicated by an individual at Smyrna in the first century was permitted by decree: λαβων κατὰ ψήφισμα τὴν ἀναγραφὴν ποιήσασθαι αὐτῶν ἐν στήλη (I.Smyrna 753, line 6); but whether a decree of the city or of a cult group is not certain. Cf. the decreto decurionum frequent in Roman dedications (e.g., ILS 3181 of a statue). As Fred Naiden points out to me, in Aeschylus Suppliant Women the king seeks a vote of the people to authorize receiving the suppliants (and called "decrees," 601).

¹⁹ Rigsby 1996: 574–586.

104 PHOENIX

All this suggests a framework within which to construe Theon's situation. Against Fink's notion of a peaceable dedication (of the children, he thought) stands Zeus Phyxios, not the god's regular epithet here but clearly Theon's coinage, reflecting his own condition and need. Welles's idea was that Theon took refuge in Gerasa from some other city. But it seems improbable that a man and perhaps his children and eventually his money might escape justice in the Roman Empire by changing cities; and if he did undertake such a move, would the further gesture of fleeing into a temple in the new city be either necessary or effective? Against whom, in this new civic jurisdiction? In the literary sources we usually find fugitives to temples when the circumstance was hot pursuit, and that would mean in our instance a local man. Theon is given no ethnic in the inscriptions; this implies that he needed none, that he was from Gerasa. Consider finally the theophoric names of two of his children: for the patron divinity of Gerasa was Artemis, their $\theta \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} = \pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\phi} \alpha$. Theon probably was a resident of Gerasa.

The other pertinent number then is the date, which also should not be accidental. The year 69/70 witnessed the Jewish rebellion and the four emperors. Josephus reports both external attack and domestic strife at Gerasa during these events: it is one of the cities listed as pillaged by the Jewish rebels, and we have the unusual scene of the Gerasenes kindly undertaking not to harm Jews who remain in town and to assist those who want to leave.²¹ The terms of Theon's dedication, "for the well-being of the Augusti and the concord of the people," are unfortunately not sufficient to characterize his particular fear, though this was a year of several Augusti and of civil strife: for these were conventional at this temple, met already in the earlier dedications.²² But Jones and Welles²³ surely were right to see this turmoil as the general context of Theon's difficulty. This was the situation in which a man took refuge in a temple—and waited there for cash to be brought in order to fulfill his obligation to the god.

Were Theon's three children with him?²⁴ That he includes them in the list with himself (*hiketes*) and the *hierodouloi* suggests this: perhaps as mere children they

²⁰ I. Gerasa 27; civic coins inscribed "Αρτεμις ή τόχη Γεράσων (Spijkermann 1978: 156-167).

²¹ Near the beginning of the troubles (late 66 and early 67): Jos. BJ 2.458, 480. A Gerasene Jew prominent in the resistance: 2.652, 4.503. The synagogue found by the excavators is not earlier than the fourth century. The "Gerasa" reported destroyed by the Romans in summer 68 (BJ 4.487) is taken to be another place: see Schürer 1979: 150.

²² I. Gerasa 2-4; cf. SEG XXVII 1008; in I. Gerasa 53 "Augusti" in A.D. 119/120 when there was only one.

²³ Jones 1930: 45: "Is it possible that the citizens had vowed this statue in gratitude for their escape from the perils of the Jewish war?" (Jones thought the dedicator of the statue to be the people of Gerasa.) Welles 1938: 377: "The troubled times of the Jewish war provide sufficient background for the flight to Gerasa of a man able to reward richly the city of his refuge."

²⁴ Fink (1933: 114) took the *bierodouloi* of *I.Gerasa* 5, line 5 to be Theon's sons; Welles (1938: 378) thought that Theon's wealth would keep the sons from being "permanently" *bierodouloi*, rather "survivors" and "of a high position." But δέ in line 5 would seem to introduce the children not in apposition but as a second set of persons distinct from Theon and the *bierodouloi*.

would not be labeled as either of those two statuses. If they too were in the temple, that would make rather more horrendous the picture of what has happened (one wonders then where their mother was). I have argued that Theon lived in Gerasa and had not fled there from some other city; he seems to have been there at least long enough for his two younger children to be born in Gerasa, to judge from their Artemisian names. But the name Theon is exceedingly rare in Syria, and exceedingly common in Egypt.²⁵ Was he a resident alien, originally from Egypt? Was he then mistaken for a Jew? Or in fact was he a Jew, notwithstanding his gifts to Zeus²⁶ and the theophoric names of two children?²⁷ I hesitate to press the question; but if he was, this would not be the only example of an assimilated Jew who found that when trouble came, assimilation did not protect him from his neighbors.²⁸

Department of Classical Studies Duke University Durham, North Carolina 27708–0103 U.S.A.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arena, R. 1989. Iscrizioni greche arcaiche di Sicilia e Magna Grecia 2. Milan.

Bickerman, E. 1937. Der Gott der Makkabäer. Berlin.

Casson, L. 1980. "The Role of the State in Rome's Grain Trade," MAAR 36: 21-33.

Debord, P. 1982. Aspects sociaux et économiques de la vie religieuse dans l'Anatolie. Leiden.

Dobias-Lalou, C. 1997. "Suppliants ou revenants dans la grande loi sacrée de Cyrène?," Lalies 17: 261-270.

Dubois, L. 1989. Inscriptions grecques dialectales de Sicile. Rome.

Fink, R. O. 1933. "Jerash in the First Century A.D.," JRS 23: 109-124.

Hepding, H. 1913. "Hieroduloi," RE 8: 1459-68.

Jones, A. H. M. 1930. "Inscriptions from Jerash," JRS 20: 43-54.

Kontorini, V. 1989. Άνέκδοτες ἐπίγραφες Ρόδου. 2. Athens.

Manganaro, G. 1977. "Tavolette di piombo inscritte della Sicilia greca," Ann Pisa ser. iii, 7: 1329–38.

Nouv. choix = Nouveau choix d'inscriptions grecques. Paris 1971.

²⁵No Theon is attested in the Dura or Babatha papyri; the hundreds attested in Egypt hardly need citation. An exception illustrates the rule: the only Theon in the volumes of *I.Syrie* is M. Aclius Aur. Theon, governor of Arabia in the mid-third century (*I.Syrie* XIII 9078–80); honored also at Ariminum (*II.S* 1192), he was no local (*PIR*² A 150).

²⁶Compare Herod rebuilding the temple of Helios on Rhodes: Jos. BJ 1.424.

²⁷ For the record, the index of *C.Pap.Jud.* shows the names Theon (cf. *I.Métriques* 69), Demetrius, and Artemidorus used by Jews in Egypt; cf. *CIJ* I 682 from Olbia (Demetrius, Dionysiodorus, restored Σ[άτυρον 'Αρτεμιδώ²]ρου); *SEG* XXXIII 1438, 1461d, from Cyrenaica (Demetrius, Artemidorus). A known Jewish immigrant from Egypt ca A.D. 50 was the revolutionary of Jos. *BJ* 2.261–263, *AJ* 20.169–172; this is the man for whom Paul, another immigrant, was mistaken at *Acts* 21.38. Note *BJ* 2.463, not only Jews but also τοὺς 'Ἰουδαΐζοντας were under suspicion as rebels.

²⁸ A version of this paper was presented at the American Philological Association meeting of 1995. I am grateful for their help to Fred Naiden of Harvard and to the Editor and referees of *Phoenix*.

106

PHOENIX

Pringsheim, F. 1950. *The Greek Law of Sale*. Weimar. Reekmans, T. 1952. "Parerga Papyrologica," *ChrEg* 27: 404–412.

—— 1955. "Parerga Papyrologica 2," ChrEg 30: 361-374.

Rigsby, K. J. 1996. Asylia: Territorial Inviolability in the Hellenistic World. Berkeley. Rostovtzeff, M. 1941. The Social and Economic History of the Hellenistic World. Oxford. Scholl, R. 1985. "ἱερόδουλοι im griechisch-römischen Ägypten," Historia 34: 466-492.

Seigne, J. 1985. "Le sanctuaire de Zeus à Jérash," Syria 62: 287-295.

—— 1989. "Monuments disparus sur photographies oubliées," Syria 66: 99-109.

Servais, J. 1960. "Les suppliants dans la 'loi sacré de Cyrène'," BCH 84: 112-147.

Sokolowski, F. 1954. "The Real Meaning of Sacral Manumission," HThR 47: 173-181.

Schürer, E. 1979. The History of the Jewish People ² 2. Edinburgh.

Spijkermann, A. 1978. Coins of the Decapolis. Jerusalem.

Welles, C. B. 1938. "The Inscriptions," in C. H. Kraeling, Gerasa, City of the Decapolis. New Haven. 353-494.

Westermann, W. 1935. "Sklaverei," RE Suppl. 6: 893-1068.

Wilamowitz, U. von. 1927. "Heilige Gesetze," SitzBerlin 1927: 155-176.